

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/606,460	06/26/2003	Nayan H. Joshi	ATOTP0104US 3492		
7590 08/11/2005		•	EXAMINER		
Armand P. Boisselle			CLEVELAND, MICHAEL B		
Renner, Otto, E	Boisselle & Sklar, LLP				
Nineteenth Flo	or		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1621 Euclid Avenue			1762		
Cleveland, OH 44115			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before the Filing of	of an Appeal Brief					

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/606,460	JOSHI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michael Cleveland	1762		

	Michael Cleveland	1762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 July 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folked places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods: 	on the same day as filing a Notice of owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid ab iffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i		IRST REPLY WAS FILE	OWITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three monthearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimates a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	onsideration and/or search (see NO	if, will <u>not</u> be entered to TE below);	pecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	••	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See attached</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41		jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	• • • •	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•	,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separate	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ worlded below or appended.	rill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 28-31,36-42,50-52,54-63 and 65-72.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>53 and 64</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a North and sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered be <u>See attached.</u> 			nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other: Interview Summary of 7/28/2005.	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).	
		Michael Cleveland Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1762	

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

1. The proposed After Final amendment will not be entered because it raises new issues for further search and consideration, such as the new limitation actively requiring the step of "immersion plating".

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 7/29/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant states that the proposed amendment is not a narrowing of the claims, because "immersion plating" more explicit. However, the prior claims did not positively recite immersion plating (or displacement plating) but instead require immersing the substrate in an immersion plating solution. Thus, the claims require only that the solution be capable of immersion plating. Accordingly, the active statement of 'immersion plating" would narrow the claims.

Applicants argue that the present status as having been finally rejected is based on a lack of understanding of the technology by the Examiner. The argument is unconvincing because Applicant had the opportunity to review the claims after the non-final rejection and had the responsibility to make sure that the arguments were commensurate in scope with the claims in the response. Applicant's failure to positively state "immersion plating" in the response of 3/3/2005 was not due to any action of the Examiner.

Applicant's arguments regarding immersion plating are unconvincing because the proposed amendment has not been entered.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cleveland whose telephone number is (571) 272-1418. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,460 Page 3

Art Unit: 1762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1762

8/5/2005